## **Cabinet**



Title of Report:	Recommendations from the Grant Working Party: Community Chest Funding – 2017/2018: Deferred Recommendations						
Report No:	CAB/SE/17/013						
Report to and date:	Cabinet		7 February	2017			
Portfolio holder:	Robert Everitt Portfolio Holder for Families and Communities  Tel: 01284 769000  Email: robert.everitt@stedsbc.gov.uk						
Chairman of the Working Party:	Angela Rushen Grant Working Party Tel: 01284 386647 Email: angela.rushen@stedsbc.gov.uk						
Lead officer:	Davina Howes Head of Families and Communities Tel: 01284 757070 Email: davina.howes@westsuffolk.gov.uk						
Purpose of report:	On 8 December 2016, the Cabinet resolved to defer consideration of four applications for Community Chest funding in 2017/18 pending further information/clarification (Minute 283 (6) refers)  On receipt of requested additional information, the Grant Working Party re-considered the applications between 6 January 2017 and 10 January 2017, and has now put forward recommendations for Cabinet's consideration and approval.						
Recommendations:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that:  (1) the allocation of Community Chest funding for 2017/2018 be approved, namely:						
	(a)	Suffolk Mi	nd	£4,970.30			
	(b) Catch 22, Suffolk Positive Futures £8,189.00						

	(	(c) HomeStart (Honington) £10,000.00					
	2	017/2	018 l	-	st funding for to Unit Twenty on)		
Key Decision:  (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	definitio Yes, it is	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?  Yes, it is a Key Decision - □  No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠					
The decisions made as a result of this report will usually be published within  48 hours and cannot be actioned until five clear working days of the  publication of the decision have elapsed. This item is included on the  Decisions Plan.  Consultation:  • See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003							
Alternative option	ı(s):	• See	е керо	rt No: GWP/S	E/16/003		
Implications:  Are there any financial implications?  If yes, please give details			See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003				
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications? If yes, please give details			See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003				
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If yes, please give details			See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003				
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b> implications? If yes, please give details			See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003				
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications? If yes, please give details			See Report No: GWP/SE/16/003				
Risk/opportunity assessment:			(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent lerrisk (before controls)	vel of	Cont		Residual risk (after controls)		
See Report: GWP/S	See Report: GWP/SE/16/003						
Ward(s) affected:			All Wards				
Background papers:			<ul><li>(a) Minutes of a meeting of the Cabinet held on Thursday 8 December 2016</li><li>(b) Cabinet Report: 8 December</li></ul>				
			(c)	2016 Repor	ing Party Report: 7 2016 Report No:		
Documents attached:		None					

## 1. <u>Consideration of Community Chest Funding - 2017/2018</u> (Report Nos: GWP/SE/16/003 and CAB/SE/16/064)

- 1.1 Applications for Community Chest funding for 2017/2018 closed on 30 September 2016. A total of 39 applications were received from a wide variety of organisations On 7 November 2016, the Grant Working Party considered Report No: GWP/SE/16/003, which included the applications submitted for the 2017/2018 financial year.
- 1.2 The Community Chest budget for 2017/2018 is £332,147, as follows:

Community Chest Budget: £281,483
Public Health Funding: £95,498
£376,981
Funds already allocated £44,834

Remaining Budget £332,147

Applicants can apply for a maximum of three years.

- 1.3 On 8 December 2016, the Cabinet considered Report No: CAB/SE/16/064, which presented the recommendations of the Grant Working Party emanating from its meeting on 7 November 2016.
- 1.4 Each application, including those that had previously been allocated funding in 2016/2017 for 2017/2018, as part of two-year agreements, had been summarised in Appendix 1 to Report No: GWP/SE/16/003 with the full applications attached as appendices to that report. Each application was required to be evaluated in accordance with the eligibility and selection criteria set out in Appendix 2, and was considered in turn, as summarised in Cabinet Report No: CAB/SE/16/064.
- 1.5 Following due consideration, recommendations were made to Cabinet for the reasons provided in Report No: CAB/SE/16/064. With the exception of four applications, these were approved as recommended.
- 1.6 At its meeting on 8 December 2016, Cabinet noted that the Working Party had deferred its consideration of four applications pending receipt of further information. These applications required further evaluation by the Working Party, and therefore it was decided that its recommendations for funding, or not, for these particular organisations would be considered at the next meeting of Cabinet on 7 February 2017, as minuted below:
  - (6) As further details and information is required from the following four organisations, the granting of appropriate levels (if any) of Community Chest funding for 2017/2018 be deferred and recommended to Cabinet on 7 February 2017 for approval:
    - (a) Suffolk Mind;
    - (b) Catch 22, Suffolk Positive Futures;
    - (c) HomeStart (Honington); and
    - (d) Unit Twenty Three ('Freefall' production).
- 1.7 The Grant Working Party has now re-considered these applications. The funding allocations to Suffolk Mind and Catch 22 (Positive Futures) have been recommended in accordance with their applications; however the Working

Party considers that a reduced grant of £10,000 from its applied figure of £12,294 should be awarded to HomeStart (Honington) and that although £5,000 had been applied for, no grant should be awarded to Unit Twenty Three ('Freefall' Production) for the following reasons:

- (a) HomeStart has been allocated a significant amount of funding from the 2017/2018 Community Chest and it is considered that £10,000 is a suitable amount to support this service, given its proposed client catchment.
- (b) After further careful consideration, the Grant Working Party does not consider the Unit 23 'Freefall' production satisfactorily meets the funding criteria.